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The Vision

Computationally-driven materials development is a core activity of materials professionals in the upcoming decades, uniting materials science with materials engineering and integrating materials more holistically and computationally with product development.
Integrated Computational Materials Engineering

- What is it?
- Origins and Approach of NRC Study
- Selected Findings
- Case Studies
  - Example of ICME (Virtual Aluminum Castings)
- Recommendations and the Way Forward
Integrated Computational Materials Engineering (ICME) is the integration of materials information, captured in computational tools, with engineering product performance analysis and manufacturing-process simulation.

Emphasis on “I” and “E”
Using advanced computational techniques, designs can be studied and optimized in matters of hours or days. Optimization of new materials must be done experimentally and can take 10-20 years.

Source: K. Bowcutt, Boeing
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- Graduating materials science and engineering students will be employed and operate in a multidisciplinary and computationally rich engineering environment.
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1. The exploration of the benefits and promise of integrated computational materials engineering (ICME) to materials research through a series of case studies of compelling materials research themes that are enabled by recent advances and accomplishments in the field of computational materials.

2. An assessment of the benefits of a comprehensive ICME capability to the national priorities.

3. The establishment of a strategy for the development and maintenance of an ICME infrastructure, including databases and model integration activities. This should include both near-term and long-range goals, likely participants and responsible agents of change.

4. Making recommendations on how best to meet the identified opportunities.
Case Studies

• Early ICME implementations have successfully integrated:
  • Materials, Component Design and Manufacturing Processes $$$$
  • Materials and Prognosis $$$
  • Materials Modeling and Manufacturing Process Development $$

• A series of case studies described in the report demonstrates that application of an ICME infrastructure, even if limited in capability, can result in a significant return on investment.

• The ROI reported to the committee varies from one case to another and is dependent on the class of materials and the expertise required and the situation in which ICME tools are applied.

• Some of the case studies did not result in full realization of potential benefits due to many factors, including lack of investment and cultural issues.

• A ROI in the range of 3:1 to 9:1 can be realized.
Example Case Study: Ford Motor Company
Traditional Product Development Process
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Virtual Aluminum Castings
Ford Virtual Aluminum Castings
Estimated Resources and ROI

Resources
• $15M over 5 years (over 50% experimental work)
• Approximately 25 people involved (15 internal + research at 7 universities)

Return on Investment:
• Over $100M in cost avoidance or cost save (7/1 ROI)
• 15-25% reduction in product development time
• Capability for upgrading and extending at significantly lower cost
Case Studies - Lessons Learned

- ICME is an emerging discipline, in its infancy.
- ICME can provide a significant positive return on investment.
- Achieving the full potential of ICME requires sustained investment.
- ICME requires a cultural shift.
- Successful model integration involves distilling information at each scale.
- Experiments are key to the success of ICME.
- Databases are the key to capturing, curating, and archiving critical information required for development of ICME.
- ICME activities are enabled by open-access data and integration-friendly software.
- Less than a 100% solution may be good enough.
- Development of ICME requires cross-functional teams focused on a common goal or "foundational engineering problem".
Barriers to ICME

Technological

• Wide variety of engineering materials and applications
• Multitude of separate mechanisms controlling materials behavior with no single overarching modeling approach (the lengthscale/timescale challenge)
• Targeted, rapid and 3-D materials characterization
• Databases and informatics
• Uncertainty quantification
• Cyberinfrastructure
Barriers to ICME

Cultural and Organizational

• Multi-year investments to realize ROI
• Organizational resistance to change
• Acceptance of “late” materials computational tools by engineering design community
• Demonstrating level of fidelity acceptable to regulatory agencies
• The computational materials science – materials engineering gap
• Collaboration and information sharing

• Education and workforce readiness
Selected Conclusions

• Materials development and optimization cycle does not operate at the rapid pace required by integrated product development teams

• ICME is a technologically sound concept which:
  • Offers a solution to the IPD cycle time dilemma
  • Where successfully applied as a significant ROI

• **ICME as a discipline within materials science and engineering does not yet truly exist**

• **For ICME to succeed, it must be embraced as a discipline by the materials science and engineering community**
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• Although there has been progress in the development of physically based models and simulation tools, for many key areas of application they are inadequate to support the widespread use of ICME.
  
  - Near term, ICME can be advanced by use of empirical models that fill the theoretical gaps
  - Experimental efforts needed to calibrate both empirical and theoretical models and validate ICME capability
  - Rapid characterization tools alongside new information technology and materials databases are needed
Why Now?

- Other engineering disciplines are far ahead
- Integration of materials and product development has been a US competitive advantage
  - The suite of materials “on the shelf” is diminishing
- ICME activities are ramping up internationally
  - VirStar (Germany), VirtualEngine (Japan), Through-process modeling (UK); Integration Software
- US momentum (AIM,ASC) may be lost
Summary

• Integrated Computational Materials Engineering (ICME) offers a means to link:
  - Manufacturing, materials and design
  - Engineering and scientific disciplines
  - Information across knowledge domains
• Early case studies demonstrate a significant ROI for ICME
• To fully and efficiently realize the potential of ICME there is a need for a global infrastructure and coordinated efforts - a grand challenge!
• Success in not guaranteed.
• Stakeholders need to put ICME on the right course of development by making the next steps now
Recommendations

Nine recommendations for specific actions for the development, support and national co-ordination of ICME

DOD, DOE, OSTP, NIST, NSF, University Materials Council (UMC), Industry, Professional Materials Societies
A Strategy for ICME
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Short-Term Goal

Enhanced Competitiveness for US Industry and Enhanced National Security

Long-Term Goal

Skilled ICME Engineering Workforce

Web-Based ICME Infrastructure
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Recommendation 8: The University Materials Council (UMC), with support from materials professional societies and the National Science Foundation, should develop a model for incorporating ICME modules into a broad spectrum of materials science and engineering courses. The effectiveness of these additions to the undergraduate curriculum should be assessed using ABET criteria.

Recommendation 9: Professional Materials Societies should

- Foster the development of ICME standards (including a taxonomy) and collaborative networks,
- Support ICME-focused programming and publications, and
- Provide continuing education in ICME.
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